



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/922,910 08/06/2001		08/06/2001	Donald F. Gordon	DIVA/113CON2	9300	
56015	7590	04/06/2006		EXAMINER		
PATTERS	ON & SF	IERIDAN, LLP/	SHANG, ANNAN Q			
SEDNA PA' 595 SHREW		RVICES, LLC AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 100			2623			
SHREWSBU	URY, NJ	07702	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)					
	09/922,910		GORDON ET AL.						
Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Annan Q. Sha	ng	2623	•				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
2a)☐ This action is FINA 3)☐ Since this application	munication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u> L. 2b)⊠ This on is in condition for allowar ce with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-f	ormal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the above cla 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 8) ☐ Claim(s) are Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not rec	rejected.	er election requier. er. epted or b) containing (s) be helion is required if	rement. bjected to by the End in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (P2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/06/0	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate	· 9-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/922,910

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-24 of U.S. Patent No.
 6,684,400. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

The current application (09/922,910)...equates to... U.S. Patent (No. 6,684,400).

As to claim 1, the claimed "providing a set of more than two on-demand programs; packaging the set into a subset having at least two on-demand programs..." equates to "grouping the on-demand programs into subgroups... each comprising at least two on-demand programs;" of Pat '400 (col.12, lines 24-35);

Application/Control Number: 09/922,910

Art Unit: 2623

The claimed "providing a user interface having the subset as a selectable object..." equates to "providing user selectability for purchasing viewing access to the at least one subgroup..." of Pat '400 (col.12, lines 35-36)

Claim 2 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

As to claims 3-4, the claimed "providing a time limited access period to the subset..." equates to "time limited viewing..." of Pat '400 (col.12, lines 4-46 and lines 60-63).

As to claim 5, the claimed "providing subscription to the package at a predefined price" equates to "wherein the fee is a subscription fee" of Pat '400 (col.12, lines 38-39).

As to claims 6-7, the claimed "one-time access fee..." equates to "for a fee..." of Pat '400 (col.12, lines 35-36 and lines 44-46).

Allowance of claims 1-7 of the instant application would result in an unjustified timewise extension of the monopoly defined by patent claim Y.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Seidman et al (6,298,482) disclose system for two-way digital multimedia broadcast and interactive services.

Chernock et al (6,177,930) disclose system and method for enabling a user to move between cyclically transmitted images streams.

Art Unit: 2623

Payton (5,831,662) discloses near on-demand digital information delivery system and method using signal fragmentation.

Miller et al (5,585,866) disclose EPG schedule system and method including virtual channels.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).**

Annan Q. Shang